GRASSROOTS JOURNALS

JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL LAW & POLICY | 04(01) JUNE 2024
Published by The Grassroots Institute, in partnership with Yaroslav Mudriy National Law University of Ukraine, and in collaboration with Northern Institute of Minority & Environmental Law, University of Lapland. Website: https://grassrootsjournals.org/jelp

ISSN 2564-016X | June 2024

M – 00391 | Analytical Article | Open Access

ENHANCING U.S. CORPORATE COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATIONS THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A CORPORATE CARBON TAX

Rayaan Altaf*
Frisco Centennial High School, 6901 Coit Rd, Frisco, TX 75035 USA
Email: rayaan.altaf.30@gmail.com | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6510-8906
Sachi Dodamani
Frisco Centennial High School, 6901 Coit Rd, Frisco, TX 75035 USA
Email: dodamani.sachi@gmail.com | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-1707-3548
**Corresponding author*

Received: 08 May 2024 | **Reviewed:** 12 June 2024 | **Revised:** 13 June 2024
Accepted: 14 June 2024 | **Published:** 18 June 2024

ABSTRACT

This article focuses on corporate contribution towards global carbon emissions and how to close existing policy gaps and internalize the external costs associated with carbon emissions. This article discusses the implementation of a corporate carbon tax as a calculated policy move to increase United States companies' adherence to environmental laws. Establishing monitoring systems, defining precise emission objectives, and incentivizing green technology are all steps toward improving corporate compliance and, thus, the environment. The plan emphasizes flexibility, compliance, and stakeholder participation to address any obstacles. Incentives for sustainable practices, lower emissions, innovation in clean technology, and environmental project funding are a few advantages of the proposed carbon price. Obstacles and limitations include industrial resistance, worries about competitiveness, and international cooperation requirements.

Keywords: Carbon tax; Climate change policy; Corporation liability; Taxation on corporate emissions; Government and corporation compliance

Editor-in-Chief: Prof. Dr. Kamrul Hossain | *Deputy Editors-in-Chief:* Dr. Evgeniya Kopitsa, Prof. Dr. Ngozi Finette Unuigbe | *Executive Editor:* Dr. Hasrat Arjjumend

How to cite this paper: Rayaan Altaf and Sachi Dodamani, 'Enhancing U.S. Corporate Compliance with Environmental Regulations through the Implementation of a Corporate Carbon Tax' (2024) 04 (01) Journal of Environmental Law & Policy 130-167, <https://doi.org/10.33002/jelp040106>

Copyright © 2024 by author(s). This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

Enhancing U.S. Corporate Compliance with Environmental Regulations through the Implementation of a Corporate Carbon Tax

1. INTRODUCTION

The Paris Agreement, adopted in December 2015 under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), marked a significant milestone in international efforts to combat climate change. With its ambitious goals aimed at preventing global warming from rising above pre-industrial levels by at least 2 degrees Celsius, the accord underscored the call for action to address the environmental challenges confronting our planet.[1] As nations worldwide pledged their commitment to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and transitioning towards sustainable development pathways, the Agreement catalyzed a renewed focus on environmental regulations and policies on a global scale.

Within the United States, the Paris Agreement served as both a catalyst for renewed environmental efforts and a subject of contentious debate. While the Agreement represented a landmark commitment to address climate change by the U.S. government, subsequent political shifts introduced uncertainty regarding the nation's role in global climate action. Despite these challenges, the Agreement's overarching goals have continued to influence environmental policy discussions at the federal and state levels, shaping the trajectory of regulatory frameworks governing environmental protection and sustainability.

Currently, the Paris Agreement itself does not directly address the issue of corporate pollution or provide specific measures for regulating it, yet this is the most critical issue in today's climate debate: Globally, just 100 companies burned — or enabled others to burn — enough fossil fuel to cause over 71% of all worldwide emissions from 1988 to 2017.[2] Many companies, including ExxonMobil and Shell, primarily operate in the United States. Even worse, for every dollar a U.S. company makes in profit, greenhouse gasses cause 18.5 cents of damage.[3] In order to prevent further deterioration of the environment through corporate actions, the U.S. government needs to identify this opportunity cost, propose and follow through on statutes regarding corporations' unsustainable actions, and reallocate diplomacy and capital towards the field.

The primary goal of this article is to address the significant scientific problem of corporate contributions to global carbon emissions and the existing policy gaps in regulating these emissions. To solve this problem, the article proposes the implementation of a corporate carbon tax as a strategic policy measure. This approach aims to internalize the external costs

1 UNFCCC, 'The Paris Agreement' (*Unfccc.int*, 2023) <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement> accessed 5 May 2024.

2 Reed, B., 'Just 100 Companies Responsible for 71% of Global Emissions, Study Says' (*The Guardian*, 10 July 2017) <http://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/2017/jul/10/100-fossil-fuel-companies-investors-responsible-71-global-emissions-cdp-study-climate-change> accessed 4 May 2024.

3 Hyatt, D., 'US Companies Do Greenhouse Gas Damage Equal to 18.5% of Profits, Study Shows' (*Investopedia*, 25 August 2023) <http://www.investopedia.com/us-companies-greenhouse-gas-damage-study-7853027> accessed 4 May 2024.

associated with carbon emissions, incentivize green technology, and establish precise emission objectives. By strengthening compliance and encouraging sustainable practices among U.S. companies, the proposed solution seeks to significantly reduce corporate carbon emissions and improve overall environmental protection. The framework outlined in this article emphasizes flexibility, stakeholder participation, and the integration of advanced monitoring systems to ensure effective enforcement and continuous improvement.

1.1 Importance of Compliance for Environmental Protection

A plethora of environmental correlations have been recognized empirically. Findings reveal that companies in countries with tight environmental regulation have 29% lower domestic emissions on average; conversely, such a tightening in regulation results in 43% higher emissions abroad.[4] Overall, stricter environmental policies at home are associated with lower global pollution even after these percentage fluctuations. A 15% reduction in global CO_2 emissions is linked to tightening domestic environmental regulations, and it is associated with a one-standard-deviation increase in environmental regulation within the sample of examined nations and corporations.[5]

1.2 Purpose of the Proposal

Environmental degradation is a severe problem that has to be addressed urgently. The substantial gaps in the enforcement, compliance, and environmental law regulations in the United States negatively impact ecosystems, public health, and natural resources. To address these problems and guarantee more compliance among American corporations, this paper suggests possible changes to the environmental laws as they currently stand. Long-term economic development, sustainable practices, and reduced human destruction can all be promoted by tightening regulatory frameworks and improving enforcement procedures.

2. OVERVIEW OF CURRENT ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY LANDSCAPE

2.1 Summary of Existing Environmental Regulations

Throughout the United States' policy, we have seen sprinkles of environmental legislation, specifically through the formation of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the laws stapled to the nation's

[4] Ben-David, I., 'Research: When Environmental Regulations Are Tighter at Home, Companies Emit More Abroad' (Harvard Business Review, 10 November 2022) <https://hbr.org/2019/02/research-when-environmental-regulations-are-tighter-at-home-companies-emit-more-abroad> accessed 5 May 2024.

[5] Ibid.

political landscape.[6] The EPA influenced the environmental framework in the U.S. when President Nixon established it in 1970.[7] The agency was tasked with completing several federal laws, specific examples of which include the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. Leading programs to monitor sustainability, the organization's jurisdiction has promoted and insured the adherence to environmental policy. Currently, environmental statutes such as the Inflation Reduction Act have also been put into place by the Biden Administration.[8]

Passed in 1970, the Clean Air Act (CAA) is a significant environmental law in the United States that underwent significant amendments in 1977 and 1990 to tackle air pollution on a national level.[9] Directed by the EPA, the CAA enacts numerous federal and state rules that limit emissions from both fixed and mobile sources, such as industries, power plants, vehicles, and trucks.[10] The National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) are introduced to protect the environment and public health from known hazardous pollutants like lead, Sulphur dioxide, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, sulfuric acid, and particulate matter.[11] The fact that the CAA's provisions have significantly improved air quality and public health across the country by lowering air pollution shows the importance of legislative action in environmental preservation.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is in charge of enforcing the Clean Water Act (CWA), which was first approved in 1948 and significantly amended in 1972 with the goal of protecting and restoring the integrity of the nation's waterways.[12] The main part of the Act consists of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), which controls the type and amount of pollutants emitted by requiring permits from industrial, municipal, and other facilities before they are released into water bodies.[13] The Act also gives financing for sewage treatment plants, wetlands preservation, and agricultural runoff management first priority.[14] By taking a holistic approach to reducing water pollution, the CWA has improved public health, improved ecosystem resilience, and improved water quality all over the nation.

---

6 Kenton, W., 'What Is the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)? What It Does' (Investopedia, 17 July 2021) <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/e/environmental-protection-agency.asp> accessed 6 May 2024.

7 Ibid.

8 Kumar, A. and others, 'The Inflation Reduction Act: Here's What's in It' (McKinsey & Company, 24 October 2022) <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/the-inflation-reduction-act-heres-whats-in-it> accessed 6 May 2024.

9 EPA, 'Evolution of the Clean Air Act' (*EPA*, 21 November 2023) <https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/evolution-clean-air-act> accessed 6 May 2024.

10 Ibid.

11 EPA, 'NAAQS Table' (*EPA*, 7 February 2024) <https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table> accessed 6 May 2024.

12 EPA, 'NPDES Program Management and Oversight' (*EPA*, 31 January 2024) <https://www.epa.gov/npdes/npdes-program-management-and-oversight> accessed 6 May 2024.

13 Ibid.

14 Ibid.

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) seeks to maintain species that are threatened with extinction and the ecosystems upon which they depend. In the United States, it is a cornerstone of environmental law.[15] The National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service are tasked with administering the ESA, which authorizes them to name critical habitats, define species as endangered or threatened, and initiate recovery programs geared towards making their populations viable once again.[16] In addition, it makes it unlawful to alter any sort of habitat of any listed species in a manner that could interfere with its survival, and it prohibits harassment, injury, or killing of any such species.[17]

The idea is that when the cost of being green decreases, pollution will become less appealing, and the market will adjust as a result. This emphasizes self-interest over accountability in general as a transition motivation. Based on their financial situation, energy companies whose business models rely on emissions will be free to choose their own exit strategies from their present polluting operations. Hard-and-fast mandates, in which the government orders businesses to clean up or face repercussions, are what many climate activists would love to see in any climate package, and some legislation is moving in this direction. For example, California plans to outlaw the sale of brand-new gasoline-powered automobiles and light trucks by 2035.[18]

California has also recently passed legislature for corporations that earn over $1 billion in revenue annually to report direct and indirect emissions.[19] From building a store to transportation, everything would be covered by this bill. Mobilizing the private sector is something that is being spread nationally.

Proposed federal regulations from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission require that many large publicly traded corporations report their greenhouse gas emissions and assess the degree to which climate change exposes their operations to financial risk. Pursuant to the new California law, the state's Air Resources Board is charged with developing regulations supporting its enactment by 2025.[20] From 2026, companies must start disclosing their direct emissions, as well as those attributable to the

---

15 Fisheries, N., 'Endangered Species Act' (*NOAA*, 13 June 2023) <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/endangered-species-conservation/endangered-species-act#:~:text=%E2%80%94The%20purposes%20of%20this%20Act,achieve%20the%20purposes%20of%20the> accessed 6 May 2024.

16 National Agricultural Law Center, 'Endangered Species Act Overview' (*National Agricultural Law Center*, 27 November 2023) <https://nationalaglawcenter.org/overview/esa/#:~:text=The%20ESA%20is%20administered%20by,ESA%20for%20all%20marine%20species> accessed 6 May 2024.

17 Ibid.

18 Austin, S., 'Gov. Newsom Signs New Law Requiring Big Companies in California to Disclose Emissions' (PBS, 8 October 2023) <http://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/gov-newsom-signs-new-law-requiring-big-companies-in-california-to-disclose-emissions> accessed 4 May 2024.

19 Ibid.

20 Ibid.

energy they purchase to power, heat, and/or cool their facilities.[21] From 2027, they must also start disclosing other indirect emissions.

While proposed solvency has been debated and passed, there are some state rules that haven't seen widespread implementation yet but still have a considerable chance for this. The United States currently does not have a federal carbon tax, a policy tool designed to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by charging fees for the production, use, or distribution of fossil fuels depending on the carbon content. A major regional effort is the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI), a cooperative project involving 12 eastern U.S. states that aims to lower CO_2 emissions from the power plant industry by using a cap-and-trade system in which end-user businesses can purchase or sell allowances according to how they are distributed.[22] Members Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Virginia, New Jersey, Rhode Island, New York, Vermont, and New Hampshire provide incentive payments for long-term pollution reductions below or beyond restrictions.[23] Pennsylvania intends to participate.[24] The purpose of RGGI is to encourage power plants to invest in greener, more efficient technology by putting a price on carbon emissions.[25]

In addition to RGGI, the states of California and Washington have established their own cap-and-trade schemes, paving the path for regional climate policy. In 2013, California implemented the world's most comprehensive cap-and-trade scheme, affecting power plants, certain industrial facilities, and specific transportation fuels.[26] It sets limits to allow GHG emissions markets to drive down emissions efficiently.[27] Washington State, in turn, passed the Climate Commitment Act in 2021, also establishing a cap-and-trade program to reduce and reduce carbon emissions.[28]

2.2 Challenges Faced by Regulators and Companies in Ensuring Compliance

Enforcing environmental standards among U.S. corporations remains a serious difficulty despite the proliferation of legislation aimed at protecting ecosystems and public health. These difficulties are caused by a number of things, such as ineffective enforcement strategies, insufficient sanctions for noncompliance, and legal loopholes that still remain. These flaws undermine

---

21 Ibid.

22 The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, 'The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative' (*Elements of RGGI | RGGI, Inc.*, 2024) <https://www.rggi.org/program-overview-and-design/elements>, accessed 6 May 2024.

23 Ibid.

24 Ibid.

25 Ibid.

26 Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, 'California Cap and Trade' (*Center for Climate and Energy Solutions*, 24 August 2021) <https://www.c2es.org/content/california-cap-and-trade/> accessed 6 May 2024.

27 Ibid.

28 Halter, C., 'Climate Commitment Act' (*Climate Commitment Act - Washington State Department of Ecology*, 2024) <https://ecology.wa.gov/Air-Climate/Climate-Commitment-Act#:~:text=In%202021%2C%20Gov.,%2C%20schools%2C%20workers%20and%20more> accessed 6 May 2024.

the efficacy of legislation, endangering human health and the integrity of the environment by permitting ecologically damaging behaviors to persist.

2.2.1 Statistical Understanding and Enforcement Difficulties

The Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) startling figure highlights the enforcement conundrum: The EPA completed enforcement actions in fiscal year 2018, mandating that polluters spend more than $4 billion on pollution control and remediation.[29] The same research did, however, also show that there has been a notable decrease in inspections and assessments, from over 20,000 in 2009 to about 10,600 in 2018.[30] This suggests that compliance difficulties are still widespread. This decrease is a reflection of larger patterns of declining resources and reordering regulatory priorities, which might make it more difficult to enforce environmental rules strictly.

2.2.2 Inequalities between Environmental Justice and Enforcement

Low-income and marginalized groups are disproportionately affected by the slack implementation of environmental rules since they frequently reside near polluting industries. Research that was published in the National Library of Medicine indicates that areas that have larger proportions of economically disadvantaged and people of colour inhabitants are more likely to be exposed to pollutants and to have lower rates of enforcement actions against those who violate the law.[31] This not only makes gaps in public health worse, but it also brings attention to a crucial element of environmental justice that the regulatory system has to address.

2.2.3 Diverse Jurisdictions in Environmental Regulation

The disparity in authority across states exacerbates the complexity of environmental regulations in the United States. Legislation pertaining to waste management, water conservation, and air quality can vary significantly not just across states (for example, Texas has more lax laws, whereas California has stricter ones) but also between towns in the same state. Companies that operate in several countries have to deal with a maze of different rules, which makes compliance more difficult and resource-intensive.

2.2.4 Difficulties in Data Gathering and Compliance Checking

The fact that various states have varied criteria for data collecting and reporting further complicates the enforcement environment. Standards for data collection, organization, and reporting may vary by jurisdiction, making

[29] EPA Press Office, 'EPA Announces 2018 Annual Environmental Enforcement Results' (*EPA*, 8 February 2019) <https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-2018-annual-environmental-enforcement-results> accessed 6 May 2024.

[30] Ibid.

[31] Rives, R., Elshorbany, Y. and Kaylor, S., 'The Relationship between Air Quality, Health Outcomes, and Socioeconomic Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic in the US' (*GeoHealth*, 10 May 2023) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10171069/> accessed 6 May 2024.

it difficult to create automated, standardized systems for compliance monitoring. Developing expensive and intricate data-gathering systems is frequently the responsibility of businesses, particularly smaller ones, due to the absence of standard techniques for gauging sustainability and confirming compliance. As an illustration, the use of Internet of Things sensors to track air quality or the creation of cloud-based platforms to continue testing records requires a large amount of training and technological commitment. Although these policies have the potential to be beneficial, they may not be administered or enforced consistently across various locations and may place a burden on small to mid-sized businesses' resources, with ramifications for practice and policy. Policymakers and regulatory organizations should take several strategic measures into consideration in order to improve the efficacy of environmental rules and guarantee fair enforcement across communities.

2.2.5 Mitigating Compliance Concerns

First, the enforcement gap shown by the decline in EPA inspections may be addressed by augmenting the resources allocated to inspections and compliance verifications. This might entail increasing community engagement in reporting infractions, improving the use of technology for monitoring, and providing more resources for regulatory bodies. Second, a more consistent approach to environmental protection might be ensured, and the complexity of firms operating in numerous areas may be reduced by partially harmonizing environmental rules across countries. Geographical and climatic variations may make total consistency impractical, but a framework for minimal requirements can be useful. Third, it would be more effective to discourage harmful activities if legislation were to close loopholes and impose harsher consequences for noncompliance. Increasing the fines for infractions in proportion to the costs to the environment and public health and enforcing stricter follow-up procedures to guarantee repair are two ways to make the penalties for noncompliance more severe. Finally, policies that prioritize interventions in these areas are necessary to promote targeted enforcement in disadvantaged communities in order to overcome gaps in environmental justice. The implementation of targeted regulations for pollution monitoring and reduction in underprivileged communities in conjunction with community-based health programs to lessen the effects of exposure may be necessary to achieve this. In conclusion, even though the United States has created a strong framework of environmental rules, there are still many obstacles to its implementation, especially because of differences in enforcement, inadequate sanctions, and jurisdictional diversity. A concerted effort that promotes justice and equity in environmental protection measures, together with improved regulatory standards, would be necessary to address these concerns holistically.

3. METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this paper involved a systematic approach to reviewing literature and analyzing data to develop the proposed carbon pricing and compliance framework. We began by conducting a comprehensive literature review, focusing on peer-reviewed academic journals, industry reports, and case studies related to carbon pricing mechanisms. Our primary sources included detailed studies on the European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) and British Columbia's carbon tax. By analyzing these models, we identified key structural elements, outcomes, and lessons learned, which provided a foundation for understanding the effectiveness and challenges of various carbon pricing strategies.

In addition to the literature review, we employed both qualitative and quantitative analysis methods to synthesize the collected information. Qualitative analysis allowed us to interpret the contextual and thematic aspects of the data, while quantitative methods enabled us to evaluate the economic and environmental impacts of different carbon pricing scenarios. We also compared and contrasted the findings from different sources to ensure a balanced perspective. This rigorous approach ensured that our analysis was thorough and informed, leading to well-grounded conclusions and recommendations for developing a robust and adaptive carbon pricing framework.

4. ANALYSIS OF COMPLIANCE ISSUES

4.1 Factors Contributing to Non-Compliance among U.S. Companies

Empirical data from various studies and reports illuminate the complex nature of environmental legislation noncompliance by U.S. businesses:

4.1.1 Lack of Awareness

The Journal of Environmental Management released a study that shows a large number of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the United States are not aware of the specific environmental regulations that are relevant to their business.[32] Given that this misperception frequently results in unintentional violations of environmental norms, it is evident that more outreach and education measures are necessary.

4.1.2 Complexity of Regulations

The United States' complex and varied environmental standards pose a great deal of difficulties for companies, especially those with smaller

[32] Sánchez-Medina, A.J., Romero-Quintero, L. and Sosa-Cabrera, S., 'Environmental Management in Small and Medium-Sized Companies: An Analysis from the Perspective of the Theory of Planned Behavior' (*PloS One*, 12 February 2014) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3922869/> accessed 5 May 2024.

operations, therefore, emphasizing the need for simplified regulatory frameworks due to the challenges associated with negotiating the intricate interactions between federal, state, and municipal laws.

4.1.3 Cost Considerations

Businesses, particularly those in high-pollution industries, bear a substantial financial burden from environmental compliance, according to a research conducted by the World Resources Institute (WRI).[33] Decision-making procedures are frequently impacted by the status of the economy, which can result in noncompliance as businesses look to save costs and stay competitive.

Lack of Enforcement:

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) releases studies that assess the efficacy of regulatory agencies' environmental enforcement operations.[34] Results show that regulatory bodies' capacity to successfully enforce environmental rules is hampered by resource limitations and other limiting factors, which leaves gaps in enforcement and insufficient disincentive for non-compliant conduct.[35]

Competitive Pressures:

The National Bureau of Economic Research investigates the ways in which competitive dynamics in high-impact businesses might affect environmental compliance.[36] Examples are found of businesses acting non-compliant in order to conform to rivals' policies, underscoring the competitive forces that might encourage breaking the law.[37]

Resource Constraints:

Resource limitations, such as insufficient funding and personnel, are frequently cited by the Small Business Association (SBA) as major obstacles to SMEs' environmental compliance. Resolving these resource constraints is critical to encouraging sustainability and compliance in the small company sector.[38]

---

33 Cox, J., 'Bottom Line on Climate Bill Compliance' (*World Resources Institute*, 3 July 2011) <https://www.wri.org/research/bottom-line-climate-bill-compliance> accessed 5 May 2024.

34 GAO, '03-112, Major Management Challenges and Program Risks: Environmental Protection Agency' (*GAO*, 1 January 2003) <https://www.gao.gov/assets/a237008.html> accessed 5 May 2024.

35 Ibid.

36 Gunn, D., 'Environmental Preferences, Competition, and Firms' R&D Choices' (*National Bureau of Economic Research*, 1 June 2020) <https://www.nber.org/digest/jun20/environmental-preferences-competition-and-firms-rd-choices> accessed 5 May 2024.

37 Ibid.

38 Blume-Kohout, M., 'Research Summary: Innovation Accelerators - SBA' (*Small Business Association*, October 2014) <https://cdn.advocacy.sba.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/15123255/rs425-Innovation-Accelerators-Research-Summary-FINAL.pdf> accessed 5 May 2024.

Corporate Culture:

Organizational psychologists, especially those connected to Harvard Business School, have performed surveys that explore the influence of business culture on environmental responsibility.[39] Results imply that environmental issues are frequently ignored in profit-maximizing companies, which fosters a culture that encourages noncompliance and unethical behaviour.[40]

This synthesis of empirical data emphasizes the wide range of reasons that lead to environmental legislation noncompliance among U.S. firms, underscoring the necessity of focused interventions to successfully address these issues.

4.2 Case Studies or Examples of Environmental Violations

Corporate tradition expands simply beyond facts and into full-size environmental violations that have been uncovered and tested over a long period of time. The explosion on the Deepwater Horizon oil rig, owned and operated via British Petroleum (B.P.), in April 2010, ended in one of the finest oil spills in records.[41] Over several months, the catastrophe resulted in the spill of thousands and thousands of gallons of crude oil into the Gulf of Mexico.[42]

The spill damaged marine ecosystems like coral reefs, fish habitats, and chicken populations.[43] The fishing and tourism industries suffered, which led to lengthy-term and steeply-priced cleanup operations as well as economic losses for coastal municipalities. Following prison action, B.P. agreed to pay over $20 billion in settlements, fines, and cleaning costs. The coincidence brought about calls for extra policies on offshore drilling legal guidelines and practices, as well as greater safety measures to save spills in the future.[44] Another example is the case introduced by Volkswagen (V.W.) in 2015, which claimed the organization had installed "defeat gadgets," or illegal software, in tens of millions of its diesel motors globally.[45] By manipulating emissions tests, these gadgets gave the deceptive impression that the motors were cleaner than they, without a doubt, have been pushed often.[46] Concerns over air pollution and public fitness were additionally highlighted by the scandal, which weakened public confidence in the vehicle zone. In the

[39] Aldy, J.E. and Gianfrate, G., 'How to Create the Climate Strategy Your Company Needs' (*Harvard Business Review*, 24 November 2020) <https://hbr.org/2019/05/future-proof-your-climate-strategy> accessed 5 May 2024.

[40] Ibid.

[41] Pallardy, R., 'Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill' (*Encyclopædia Britannica*, 27 April 2024) <https://www.britannica.com/event/Deepwater-Horizon-oil-spill> accessed 6 May 2024.

[42] Ibid.

[43] Ibid.

[44] Ibid.

[45] Gates, G. and others, 'How Volkswagen's "defeat Devices" Worked' (*The New York Times*, 8 October 2015) <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/business/international/vw-diesel-emissions-scandal-explained.html> accessed 6 May 2024.

[46] Ibid.

impacted towns, air pollution, breathing ailments, and environmental deterioration were all caused by Volkswagen Motors' excess emissions.[47]

Global client and regulatory movement in opposition to V.W. ended in billion-dollar consequences, settlements, and car buybacks.[48] The organization additionally invested in electric vehicle technology and confronted accelerated regulatory scrutiny.[49] When the Exxon Valdez, an oil tanker operated by way of Exxon Shipping Company, struck a rock in Prince William Sound, Alaska, in March 1989, hundreds of thousands of gallons of crude oil ultimately spilled into the ocean.[50] The disaster devastated ecosystems, claimed the lives of thousands of aquatic species, and tainted coastal regions with oil. The fishing and traveler industries within the vicinity suffered enormous losses, and the environmental damage endured for years. After dealing with prison action, Exxon was pressured to pay masses of millions of bucks in consequences, cleanup prices, and settlements.[51] The marine enterprise modified rules and protection protocols in reaction to the incident to prevent such mishaps inside the future.[52]

5. PROPOSAL OF STRENGHTENING COMPLIANCE

5.1 Introduction of Comprehensive Compliance Framework with Carbon Tax Incentives

The introduction of a comprehensive compliance framework integrated with carbon tax incentives is a critical step toward achieving significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and fostering sustainable business practices. This approach addresses both the regulatory and market-based aspects of environmental governance, creating a robust system that aligns economic incentives with environmental goals.

5.1.1 Rationale for a Carbon Tax

A carbon tax is a straightforward and effective tool for reducing carbon emissions by assigning a cost to the release of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. By making it more expensive to emit carbon, the tax creates a financial incentive for companies to reduce their emissions. This economic signal encourages businesses to invest in cleaner technologies and processes, ultimately leading to a reduction in overall emissions.

The rationale behind a carbon tax is rooted in the concept of internalizing externalities. Currently, the environmental and social costs of

47 Ibid.
48 Ibid.
49 Ibid.
50 Ammann, E., 'Exxon Valdez: Oil Spills: Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program' (*Exxon Valdez | Oil Spills | Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program*, 17 August 2020) <https://darrp.noaa.gov/oil-spills/exxon-valdez#:~:text=What%20Happened%3F,environmental%20disasters%20in%20U.S.%20history> accessed 6 May 2024.
51 Ibid.
52 Ibid.

carbon emissions are not reflected in the market prices of fossil fuels. This leads to overconsumption and excessive pollution. A carbon tax corrects this market failure by incorporating the external costs of emissions into the price of carbon-intensive goods and services, thereby promoting more efficient resource use and cleaner production methods.

5.1.2 Expected Impact on Business Practices

The implementation of a carbon tax is expected to drive significant changes in business practices across various sectors. Companies will be motivated to seek out energy-efficient technologies, adopt renewable energy sources, and improve their overall operational efficiency to minimize their tax burden. This shift will not only reduce emissions but also enhance the competitiveness of businesses that proactively adopt sustainable practices. Additionally, a carbon tax can stimulate innovation in the development of low-carbon technologies. By increasing the cost of traditional fossil fuel-based energy, the tax creates a market for alternatives such as wind, solar, and bioenergy. Companies investing in research and development of these technologies can gain a competitive edge and open up new business opportunities.

5.1.3 Revenue Generation and Reinvestment

One of the significant advantages of a carbon tax is its potential to generate substantial government revenue. This revenue can be strategically reinvested to further environmental and social objectives. For example, funds can be allocated to support renewable energy projects, enhance public transportation infrastructure, and provide subsidies or tax credits for businesses and households investing in energy-efficient technologies. Moreover, a portion of the revenue can be used to mitigate the regressive impacts of the carbon tax on low-income households. By offering rebates or direct transfers, the government can ensure that the tax does not disproportionately burden vulnerable populations. This approach not only promotes social equity but also helps to build broader public support for the carbon tax.

5.2 Key Components of the Proposed Framework

5.2.1 Regulatory Oversight and Enforcement Mechanisms:

1. Bolster regulatory organizations by allocating specialized staff and funds to environmental enforcement and compliance oversight.
2. Enforce strict penalties for violating environmental standards, including focused audits and inspections.
3. Encourage cooperation between industry stakeholders and regulatory organizations to create sector-specific practices and compliance standards.

5.2.2 Enhanced Monitoring and Reporting Requirements:

1. Cutting-edge monitoring tools such as satellite photography and emissions sensors are required to measure environmental performance and guarantee compliance.
2. To promote openness and comparability, mandate the regular reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and other environmental indicators using standardized reporting frameworks.
3. To improve accountability and confidence, establish impartial verification processes to confirm the veracity and accuracy of environmental data disclosed by businesses.

5.2.3 Incentives for Proactive Environmental Management:

1. To internalize the social cost of carbon and encourage the reduction of emissions, impose a carbon tax on the amount of carbon contained in industrial emissions and fossil fuels.
2. Give businesses financial incentives for investing in energy efficiency, renewable energy, and other low-carbon technology, such as tax credits and rebates.
3. Create a market for carbon offsets so businesses may exchange reductions in their emissions and encourage the development of new technology for carbon capture and sequestration.

5.2.4 Public Accountability and Transparency Measures:

1. Create public databases and web portals that enable stakeholders to hold businesses responsible for their environmental performance by providing the public with access to environmental compliance data.
2. Promote public participation in environmental decision-making processes through public consultations, stakeholder engagement forums, and citizen advisory boards.
3. Rules protecting whistle-blowers should be strengthened to protect those who come forward with information about environmental infractions. This would promote an open and accountable culture.

5.3 Integration of Technology for Monitoring and Reporting

The integration of advanced technologies into the monitoring and reporting processes is a cornerstone of the proposed compliance framework. By leveraging cutting-edge tools, we can enhance the accuracy, efficiency, and reliability of environmental data, thereby ensuring better compliance and informed decision-making. The adoption of advanced technologies for monitoring and reporting offers several benefits, including real-time data collection, improved accuracy, and enhanced transparency. These technologies enable continuous monitoring of emissions and other environmental indicators, providing a comprehensive and up-to-date picture of environmental performance.

Key Technologies:

- *Satellite Imagery and Remote Sensing:* Satellite imagery provides a broad and detailed view of environmental changes, allowing for the detection of illegal activities such as deforestation or unauthorized emissions. Remote sensing technologies can track pollution levels and identify hotspots, facilitating targeted interventions.
- *IoT Sensors:* Internet of Things (IoT) sensors can be installed in industrial facilities, vehicles, and other sources of emissions to provide real-time data on emissions levels. These sensors enable continuous monitoring and immediate detection of deviations from compliance standards.
- *Blockchain for Data Integrity:* Blockchain technology can be used to secure environmental data, ensuring its accuracy and preventing tampering. By creating a transparent and immutable ledger of emissions data, blockchain enhances trust and accountability in reporting.

Industry-Specific Applications:

- *Energy Sector:* In the energy sector, smart grids and advanced metering infrastructure can monitor energy consumption and emissions in real-time. This helps utilities optimize energy use, reduce losses, and manage demand more effectively.
- *Transportation Sector:* Telematics systems and GPS tracking in the transportation sector can monitor vehicle emissions and fuel efficiency. These technologies can encourage fleet operators to adopt greener practices, such as route optimization and the use of low-emission vehicles.
- *Manufacturing Sector:* IoT sensors and predictive analytics in manufacturing can monitor resource use and emissions throughout the production process. By providing insights into inefficiencies and opportunities for improvement, these technologies help manufacturers reduce their environmental footprint.

The successful integration of technology for monitoring and reporting requires a strategic approach that includes collaboration with technology providers, investments in infrastructure, and capacity building.

Key Measures:

- *Collaboration with Technology Providers:* Partnering with technology companies can facilitate the development and deployment of customized monitoring solutions. Collaborative efforts can ensure that the technologies meet the specific needs of different industries and regulatory requirements.
- *Investment in Infrastructure:* Governments and businesses must invest in the necessary infrastructure to support the widespread

adoption of advanced monitoring technologies. This includes funding for the installation of sensors, development of data platforms, and training for personnel.

- *Capacity Building and Training:* Providing training and support to regulatory agencies and companies is essential for the effective use of new technologies. Capacity building initiatives should focus on enhancing technical skills and knowledge, ensuring that stakeholders can leverage the full potential of the technologies.

Challenges and Mitigation Strategies:

- *Data Privacy and Security:* Ensuring the privacy and security of collected data is a critical concern. Implementing robust cybersecurity measures and data protection protocols can mitigate risks and build trust among stakeholders.
- *Cost Considerations:* The initial cost of deploying advanced technologies can be a barrier for some companies, particularly small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Providing financial incentives, such as grants or subsidies, can help offset these costs and encourage adoption.
- *Technical Standardization:* Developing standardized protocols and guidelines for data collection, reporting, and verification is essential to ensure consistency and comparability of environmental data. Collaboration with international organizations can help establish these standards and promote global best practices.

Table 1: Collaborative approaches for integrating technology for monitoring and reporting of emissions

Energy Sector:	● Install grid monitoring equipment and smart meters to track energy usage and emissions in real time. Utilities will benefit from this by reducing carbon emissions and increasing energy efficiency.
Transportation Sector:	● By measuring vehicle emissions and fuel efficiency using telematics systems and GPS tracking, fleet operators may be incentivized to implement greener transportation methods and technology.
Manufacturing Sector:	● By using IoT sensors and predictive analytics to track resource usage and emissions in industrial processes, the manufacturing industry may profit from proactive control of environmental risks as well as opportunities for efficiency gains.

5.4 Consideration of International Best Practices

Our compliance framework will be developed and implemented with the assistance of global experiences and best practices in carbon pricing and environmental governance. By integrating insights and strategies from successful international models, we can enhance the robustness and effectiveness of our approach.

Leveraging Successful Carbon Pricing Schemes:

1. *British Columbia's Carbon Tax:* The revenue-neutral carbon tax implemented in British Columbia serves as a prime example of how carbon pricing can effectively reduce emissions while maintaining economic stability. By studying the mechanisms and outcomes of this model, we can adopt similar strategies to design a carbon tax that balances environmental and economic objectives.
2. *European Union Emissions Trading System (EU ETS):* The EU ETS provides a valuable case study on cap-and-trade systems, which set a cap on total emissions while allowing market trading of emission allowances. This model has demonstrated success in reducing emissions cost-effectively across multiple industries. We can incorporate elements of the EU ETS to create a flexible and market-driven approach to emissions reduction in our framework.

Collaboration with International Organizations:

To develop a just and efficient plan, we will collaborate with international organizations such as the World Bank, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). These organizations offer financial and technical resources essential for implementing carbon pricing and environmental legislation. Their expertise and support can facilitate the development of robust policies and ensure effective execution.

Participating in Global Climate Initiatives:

Engaging in international climate initiatives, such as the Carbon Pricing Leadership Coalition (CPLC) and the Paris Agreement, is crucial for fostering global cooperation on climate action. By aligning our national programs with international commitments, we ensure that our efforts contribute to broader global objectives. This alignment also facilitates knowledge exchange and the adoption of best practices, enhancing the overall effectiveness of our compliance framework.

Ensuring Policy Coherence and Harmonization:

Harmonizing our carbon pricing strategies with international standards helps prevent carbon leakage and maintains competitiveness. Policy coherence ensures that domestic measures are aligned with global

efforts, promoting consistency and reliability in emissions reduction. This involves adopting common metrics, reporting standards, and verification processes, which facilitate international comparability and mutual recognition of efforts.

Encouraging Cross-Border Technology Transfer:

Promoting the exchange of technology and innovation across borders can accelerate the adoption of low-carbon technologies. By fostering partnerships with countries and companies leading in clean technology development, we can benefit from advanced solutions and best practices. This includes joint ventures, research collaborations, and investment in emerging technologies, which drive innovation and reduce costs.

Building Capacities and Sharing Expertise:

Capacity building and knowledge sharing are essential components of international cooperation. Training programs, workshops, and exchange programs with international partners can enhance the skills and capabilities of regulatory agencies, businesses, and other stakeholders. This collective learning process ensures that all parties are equipped to implement and comply with the carbon pricing framework effectively.

Funding and Financial Support:

Securing international financial support is critical for implementing comprehensive carbon pricing mechanisms. International funding can help bridge resource gaps, particularly in developing and transitioning economies. Grants, loans, and technical assistance from international financial institutions can support infrastructure development, capacity building, and the deployment of advanced monitoring technologies.

Addressing Equity and Just Transition:

International cooperation also emphasizes the importance of equity and a just transition. Policies should ensure that vulnerable populations and sectors are not disproportionately affected by the transition to a low-carbon economy. International best practices provide guidance on designing compensatory measures, such as social safety nets, retraining programs, and community development initiatives, to support those impacted by the changes.

By integrating these international best practices and collaborative efforts, our compliance framework will not only be more effective in reducing emissions but also aligned with global standards and commitments. This approach ensures that our national efforts contribute to the collective global response to climate change, promoting sustainable development and environmental stewardship on a global scale.

6. IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

The strategy for implementing a carbon pricing framework involves a multi-phase approach that ensures thorough preparation, stakeholder engagement, and iterative improvements based on pilot program outcomes. This phased approach begins with a comprehensive assessment and planning phase, where potential economic and environmental impacts are analyzed. This initial phase is crucial for identifying the specific needs and challenges that different industries might face and setting realistic goals and timelines for implementation.

Following the planning phase, policy development and legislative action will be undertaken. This involves drafting and enacting laws that establish the carbon pricing mechanism and its enforcement procedures. Active collaboration with industry stakeholders, environmental NGOs, and the public will be essential during this phase to build broad-based support and ensure that the policies are both effective and equitable. Pilot programs will be conducted to test various approaches and gather data, which will inform the final rollout and scaling up of the framework. Continuous monitoring and evaluation will be integrated to assess the framework's performance and make necessary adjustments.

6.1 Phased Approach to Implementation

6.1.1 Initial Assessment and Planning

Conducting a preliminary evaluation is vital to setting the basis for effective implementation. The International Monetary Fund and the World Bank have shown how essential it is to conduct widespread research before imposing carbon pricing systems.[53] They contend that thorough opinions aid in figuring out prospective barriers and openings, ensuring a successful coverage formula and execution.[54]

6.1.2 Policy Development and Legislative Action

Maintaining aid and ensuring a hit implementation at some point in the policy-making process requires active stakeholder engagement. Stakeholder participation is critical in figuring out carbon pricing strategies. Including fascinated parties in decision-making strategies, enhances the efficacy of guidelines and boosts support for the offered policy.

6.1.3 Capacity Building and Training

Investments in capacity construction and education ensure stakeholders are prepared to abide by legal guidelines for carbon pricing. A perspective published in the journal Joule indicates that potential development applications enhance regulatory compliance and speed the

[53] Mackedon J, 'Pricing Carbon' (*World Bank*, 3 June 2023) <https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/pricing-carbon> accessed 4 May 2024.
[54] Ibid.

shift to low-carbon practices.[55] Government guidance and training may help industry stakeholders undertake carbon accounting strategies and reporting requirements.

6.1.4 Pilot Programs and Testing

Pilot tasks are essential for evaluating the viability and efficiency of carbon pricing schemes. The National Bureau of Economic Research and the Environmental Defense Fund have performed studies highlighting the price of pilot checking out, guiding the creation and execution of policies.[56] They suggest that officials verify numerous pricing schemes through pilot projects and determine the satisfactory one for wider adoption.[57]

6.1.5 Gradual Rollout and Scaling Up

Gradually implementing carbon pricing permits near commentary of its outcomes on economic competitiveness and emissions discounts. According to a perspective in the journal, Environmental Research Letters, implementing rules steadily minimizes enterprise disruptions and gives choice-makers beneficial entries for improving coverage formulation.[58] Governments can compare the efficacy of carbon pricing rules by specializing in excessive-emission sectors or sports and adjusting as necessary.

6.2 Collaboration with Stakeholders: Government, Industry, NGOs, and Public

6.2.1 Government Partnerships

Consistent policies require cooperation with government agencies. Data from the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) shows that inter-agency cooperation improves the efficiency of environmental programs.[59] Governments can collaborate with ministries and agencies to guarantee coherence between carbon pricing programs and other environmental rules.

6.2.2 Industry Engagement

Addressing possibilities and challenges unique to a given sector requires active engagement with industry players. The International Energy Agency's (IEA) research indicates that industrial cooperation encourages the

---

55 Malhotra, A. and Schmidt, T.S., 'Accelerating Low-Carbon Innovation' (2020) 4 Joule 2259

56 Camuzeaux, J., 'The Holy Grail of Climate Economics? A Price on Carbon.' (*Environmental Defense Fund*, 8 July 2015) <https://www.edf.org/blog/2015/07/08/holy-grail-climate-economics-price-carbon> accessed 5 May 2024.

57 Ibid.

58 Newell, P.J., Geels, F.W. and Sovacool, B.K., 'Navigating Tensions between Rapid and Just Low-Carbon Transitions' (2022) 17 Environmental Research Letters 041006.

59 OECD, 'OECD Environmental Performance Reviews: United States 2023' (*The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development*, 2023) <https://doi.org/10.1787/47675117-en>.

uptake of low-carbon practices and technology.[60] Governments may encourage innovation and competitiveness while lowering emissions by supporting industries shifting to sustainable practices.

6.2.3 NGO Involvement

Collaborating with environmental non-governmental organizations is essential for increasing public knowledge and endorsement of carbon pricing. The World Resources Institute has performed studies demonstrating the value of NGO participation in advancing accountability and openness in policy application.[61] Governments can improve public trust and legitimacy in carbon pricing policies by utilizing non-governmental organizations' experience and lobbying networks.

6.2.4 Public Participation

Encouraging public involvement through outreach programs and stakeholder engagements guarantees that the values and goals of society are reflected in policy choices. According to research published in the Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews, public support of carbon pricing systems appears to rise with citizen participation.[62] Governments can garner widespread support for carbon pricing schemes by addressing concerns about equality and distributional implications and by asking individuals for their opinions.[63]

6.3 Pilot Programs and Testing

6.3.1 Sector-Specific Pilots

The effect of carbon prices on industrial competitiveness and emissions reductions by implementing pilot programs in strategic industries can be evaluated by the government. With experimental initiatives, nations like Canada and Sweden show that sector-specific strategies work to reduce emissions.[64] Governments can find optimal practices for wider deployment by evaluating various pricing schemes and compliance tactics.

6.3.2 Regional Demonstrations

Regional demonstrations can aid policymakers in understanding regional differences related to carbon pricing. According to the International Institute for Sustainable Development, regional demonstrations offer

---

60 IEA, 'Energy Efficiency Policy and Carbon Pricing – Analysis' (*IEA*, 2011) <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-efficiency-policy-and-carbon-pricing> accessed 7 May 2024.

61 Lebling, K. and others, 'State of Climate Action: Assessing Progress Toward 2030 and 2050' (*World Resources Institute*, 11 July 2021) <https://www.wri.org/research/state-climate-action-assessing-progress-toward-2030-and-2050> accessed 5 May 2024.

62 Carattini, S., Carvalho, M. and Fankhauser, S., 'Overcoming Public Resistance to Carbon Taxes' (2018) 9 WIREs Climate Change.

63 Ibid.

64 Pareliussen, J. and Purwin, A., 'Climate Policies and Sweden's Green Industrial Revolution' [2023] OECD Economics Department Working Papers.

insights into carbon taxes' socioeconomic effects.[65] By assessing how carbon pricing affects local companies and communities, governments can customize policy responses to fit regional needs and goals.

6.3.3 Monitoring and Evaluation

The effectiveness of carbon pricing schemes can be tracked by comprehensive monitoring and evaluation mechanisms. Nations like the United Kingdom and Germany have data to determine the efficiency of policies and highlight the significance of monitoring and assessment.[66] Governments may evaluate the effects of carbon taxes on environmental goals by gathering data on emissions, income generation, and compliance rates.

6.4 Allocation of Resources and Funding:

6.4.1 Government Budget Allocation

Money should be set aside within the government's price range to control and enforce the carbon price to ensure policy implementation. Studies from the World Bank indicate that sufficient funding is needed for prospective buildings and infrastructure.[67] It is within their power to ensure carbon pricing schemes work by dedicating resources to monitoring and enforcement mechanisms.[68]

6.4.2 Carbon Tax Revenues

The price range generated by the carbon tax should be utilized to finance environmental initiatives to optimize the benefits of the coverage. According to the IMF, regulations are more effective when money from the carbon price is set aside for climate change adaptation and mitigation.[69] Governments may achieve many policy goals by investing in renewable strength and weather resilience projects while mitigating the regressive consequences of carbon pricing for low-income families.[70]

---

65 McLaughlin, D. and Cameron, M., 'Carbon Dividends Could Save Carbon Pricing – and Create a New National Climate Consensus' (*International Institute for Sustainable Development*, 11 October 2018) <https://www.iisd.org/articles/policy-analysis/carbon-dividends-could-save-carbon-pricing-and-create-new-national-climate> accessed 5 May 2024.

66 EEA, 'Dashboard – Renewable Energy in Europe 2023' (European Environment Agency, 13 December 2023) <https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/energy/renewable-energy/renewable-energy-in-europe-dashboard> accessed 5 May 2024.

67 *Mackedon* (n 55).

68 Ibid.

69 Parry, I., 'The Case for Carbon Taxation – IMF F&D: December 2019' (*IMF*, 1 December 2019) <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2019/12/the-case-for-carbon-taxation-and-putting-a-price-on-pollution-parry#:~:text=A%20tax%20of%2C%20say%2C%20%2435,technologies%20like%20renewable%20power%20plants> accessed 4 May 2024.

70 Ibid.

6.4.3 International Assistance

Seeking international assistance and technical collaboration helps sell the implementation of standards and enhance functionality. Data from international corporations and organizations such as the United Nations Development Programme highlight the significance of international collaboration in addressing global environmental issues.[71] Governments can obtain funding and technical assistance to implement carbon pricing legislation and other comparable sustainable development objectives by collaborating with foreign partners.

7. EXPECTED BENEFITS AND OUTCOMES

The implementation of a comprehensive carbon pricing and compliance framework is expected to yield significant environmental, economic, and social benefits. By internalizing the cost of carbon emissions, this framework will incentivize businesses to adopt more sustainable practices, leading to substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions. These reductions are critical for meeting national and international climate goals and mitigating the adverse effects of climate change.

7.1 Environmental Impact Assessment

The carbon price and extensive compliance system will significantly impact the environment.

7.1.1 Emissions Reduction

Studies show that carbon pricing strategies and a carbon tax can also effectively lower greenhouse fuel emissions. For instance, models suggested that carbon emissions in British Columbia fell by 5–15% during a 10-year period.[72] Such discounts are essential to fulfill international climate targets and preclude the worst effects of climate change.

7.1.2 Stimulation of R&D of Clean Energy Technology

The imposition of a carbon rate creates marketplace incentives that stimulate the improvement of innovative, easy electricity technologies, subsequently promoting easy technology. Numerous research have shown how carbon charges impact investments in low-carbon and renewable energy resources. The International Energy Agency (IEA) corroborates that carbon pricing can boost the uptake of renewable electricity technologies, decreasing reliance on fossil fuels and delaying the charge at which the surroundings deteriorate.[73]

---

71 Nations, U., 'The 17 Goals | Sustainable Development' (*United Nations*, 2024) <https://sdgs.un.org/goals> accessed 5 May 2024.

72 Murray, B. and Rivers, N., 'British Columbia's Revenue-Neutral Carbon Tax: A Review of the Latest "Grand Experiment" in Environmental Policy' (2015) 86 Energy Policy 674.

73 *IEA* (n 62).

7.2 Economic Implications for Companies

History shows that implementing a carbon tax is expected to affect companies positively.

7.2.1 Market Incentives for Innovation

Market indicators generated through carbon pricing stimulate the improvement of easy generation and practices. The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) has undertaken research that indicates carbon pricing policies inspire funding in low-carbon technology, leading to value savings and technical breakthroughs.[74] As a result, corporations emerge as more aggressive and are better positioned to gain the latest possibilities inside the expanding low-carbon economy.

7.2.2 Cost Savings through Efficiency Improvements

Businesses may save a lot of money by investing in energy-saving initiatives. An average return on investment of 16–30% may be achieved by energy-saving programs in the industrial sector, according to research published by the American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE).[75] Businesses may lower their carbon footprint and increase productivity and competitiveness by optimizing resource utilization and decreasing waste.[76]

7.2.3 Opportunities and New Markets

Corporations prioritizing sustainability and environmental stewardship may expand their customer base and enhance their reputation. According to the sustainability data firm ESG Book, companies with outstanding environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance beat their peers regarding financial performance and market value.[77] Companies may open up new development prospects by attracting environmentally concerned investors and customers and showcasing their commitment to minimizing their carbon footprint.

7.3 Social and Public Health Benefits

Empirical data supports the expectation that the carbon price and compliance system will significantly positively impact public health and society.

---

[74] Dressler, L. and others, 'Green Budgeting and Tax Policy Tools to Support a Green Recovery' [2020] OECD Policy Responses to Coronavirus (COVID-19).

[75] Kelly, M. and Rogers, E., 'Communicating the Value of Industrial Energy Efficiency Programs' (*American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy*, February 2016) <https://www.aceee.org/sites/default/files/value-industrial-ee-programs.pdf> accessed 5 May 2024.

[76] Ibid.

[77] Thompson, J. (*Companies with strong ESG scores outperform, study finds*, 11 August 2018) <https://www.ft.com/content/f99b0399-ee67-3497-98ff-eed4b04cfde5> accessed 7 May 2024.

7.3.1 Improved Air Quality

Carbon pricing may significantly improve public fitness and air quality by decreasing air pollutant emissions. A report published in the journal Circulation concluded that breathing low concentrations of nitrogen dioxide and ozone for a long period of time posed a significant risk to cardiovascular and respiratory health among the elderly population in the US.[78] Thus, carbon pricing schemes can improve public health and air first-class by decreasing emissions from industrial and transportation resources.

7.3.2 Enhanced Resilience to Climate Change

Investing in climate model techniques may lessen a community's vulnerability to hazards related to climate trade. The World Bank estimates that up to four dollars for each spent on model measures might avoid damages from weather-related disasters.[79] Policies that charge carbon enhance society's long-term balance and well-being by making it more resilient to intense weather events and other effects of weather trade.[80]

7.3.3 Fair Distribution of Benefits

Well-concept-out carbon pricing regulations can reduce financial disparity and develop social justice. The World Resources Institute (WRI) has performed research showing that sales recycling strategies, including dividends and rebates, can counteract the regressive influences of carbon pricing and guarantee that the load is allotted fairly in socioeconomic companies.[81] Carbon pricing policies help create a more equitable and inclusive society by tackling issues related to social equality.

7.4 Long-Term Sustainability and Resilience

Empirical studies demonstrate that establishing the carbon pricing and compliance framework paves the way for long-term sustainability and resilience. Carbon pricing is a key device for policymakers looking to boost the transition to a low-carbon economy. A meta-analysis published in the Climate Policy journal suggests that carbon pricing guidelines can also reduce emissions and promote investments in renewable power.[82] By internalizing the charge of carbon emissions, carbon pricing regulations promote adopting sustainable practices and technologies, establishing the chance for a greater sustainable future.

---

78 Danesh Yazdi, M. and others, 'Long-Term Association of Air Pollution and Hospital Admissions among Medicare Participants Using a Doubly Robust Additive Model' (2021) 143 Circulation 1584.

79 *Mackedon* (n 55).

80 Ibid.

81 Cox, J., 'Putting People at the Center of Climate Action | World Resources Institute' (*World Resources Institute*, 2020) <https://www.wri.org/insights/putting-people-center-climate-action> accessed 5 May 2024.

82 Narassimhan, E. and others, 'Carbon Pricing in Practice: A Review of Existing Emissions Trading Systems' (2018) 18 Climate Policy 967.

7.4.1 Adaptation to Changing Climate Conditions

Taking proactive steps to adapt can develop more resilience to the effects of climate change. The Committee on Adaptation to a Changing Climate shows that investing in climate adaptation strategies can dramatically reduce climate-related catastrophe risk and increase community resilience.[83] Carbon pricing policies assist society in mitigating the effects of climate change and constructing a more resilient future through investments in disaster preparedness, resilient infrastructure, and community-based adaptation measures.[84]

7.4.2 Encouragement of Ecological Development

Sustainable development results are promoted when environmental factors are included in decision-making processes. According to the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), long-term development goals cannot be achieved without sustainability-oriented measures like carbon pricing and environmental laws.[85] Carbon pricing strategies ensure a more resilient and sustainable society by balancing economic growth with social fairness and environmental conservation. This benefits both the current and future generations.

8. ADDRESSING POTENTIAL CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite the numerous benefits, implementing a carbon pricing framework will undoubtedly face several challenges. Political opposition from vested interests, economic concerns about the impact on low-income populations and energy-intensive industries, and administrative and technical difficulties in monitoring and enforcement are some of the anticipated obstacles. Addressing these challenges will require a combination of strategic planning, stakeholder engagement, and robust policy design to ensure the framework's effectiveness and sustainability.

8.1 Anticipated Obstacles to Implementation

Despite the potential benefits, implementing carbon pricing and compliance frameworks may face several challenges.

Table 2: Potential Obstacles to Implementing Carbon Pricing

Political Opposition	Resistance from vested interests and political opposition can preclude the adoption of carbon pricing guidelines. The IMF has recognized

[83] Committee on Adaptation to a Changing Climate, 'Errata for Climate-Resilient Infrastructure Adaptive Design and Risk Management' [2018] Climate-Resilient Infrastructure.

[84] Ibid.

[85] UNDP, 'UN Development Programme Launches Plan to Boost Integrity in Carbon Markets and Increase Access to Finance Schemes for Developing Countries' (*UNDP*, 4 December 2023) <https://www.undp.org/press-releases/un-development-programme-launches-plan-boost-integrity-carbon-markets-and-increase-access-finance-schemes-developing-countries> accessed 7 May 2024.

	political resistance as a huge barrier to carbon pricing implementation.[86] Political factors, including ideological variations and lobbying through industry groups, can complicate the policymaking procedure and put off or weaken the enactment of carbon pricing regulation.
Economic Concerns	Some parties may voice concerns about the financial impacts of carbon pricing on low-income people and energy-intensive businesses. According to The Brookings Institution, companies that are highly reliant on carbon may find it hard to stay competitive without international collaboration of carbon pricing.[87] There may also be worries about the unequal nature of carbon taxes, which affect more lower-income households.
Administrative and Technical Difficulties	In order to implement carbon pricing mechanisms, it is essential to have strong systems for monitoring, reporting and verification that could bring about administrative as well as technological challenges. The World Bank states that some undeveloped nations lack infrastructure and technical knowhow required for successful implementation of carbon pricing.[88] This calls for institutional capacity building, investments in technology and human resources among others.

8.2 Strategies for Overcoming Resistance or Opposition

Policymakers can use several tactics to deal with opposition or resistance to carbon pricing and compliance systems.

Table 3: Strategies to Mitigate Opposition

Engagement and Education of Stakeholders	Getting stakeholders involved and reaching out to them for education and interaction can be effective to create a more supportive atmosphere for carbon pricing, and to quash the myths

[86] *Parry* (n 65).

[87] Derviş, K. and others, 'Why the US Should Establish a Carbon Price Either through Reconciliation or Other Legislation' (*Brookings*, 9 March 2022) <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/why-the-us-should-establish-a-carbon-price-either-through-reconciliation-or-other-legislation/> accessed 4 May 2024.

[88] *Mackedon* (n 55).

	surrounding it's benefits. The Environmental Defense Fund suggests that active engagement combined with communication among the public, businesses and NGOs can help in getting people agree with different policies on carbon tax altogether.[89]
Building Coalitions	Forming partnerships with a variety of interest groups such as community based organizations, corporate leaders and environmental non-governmental organizations (NGOs) will help overcome political barriers against this move. According to research by the Climate Leadership Council, creating broad-based coalitions around carbon pricing is likely to build new laws' implementation support system politically.[90] Profit and environmental wins which would come with setting putting up tariffs on emissions should be emphasized during opposition campaigns led by alliances like these.
Flexibility and Compromise in Policy	One can settle questions on fairness and competition through flexible carbon pricing approaches that reflect different economic and societal conditions. International Institute for Sustainable Development has found out that adopting income redistribution strategies as well as dividends or revenue-neutral carbon taxes can foster public acceptance while offsetting adverse economic impacts. There are also other alternatives such as sector-specific exemptions or temporary assistance for industries facing high transitional costs which should be considered by policymakers.[91]

8.3. Mitigation of Unintended Consequences

Policymakers can implement specific safeguards to lessen the unintended effects of carbon pricing and compliance systems. These strategies include:

[89] Camuzeaux, J., 'The Holy Grail of Climate Economics? A Price on Carbon.' (*Environmental Defense Fund*, 8 July 2015) <https://www.edf.org/blog/2015/07/08/holy-grail-climate-economics-price-carbon> accessed 5 May 2024.

[90] Bailey, D., 'Unlocking Net Zero Emissions: Accelerating Innovation & Deployment through Carbon Pricing' (*Climate Leadership Council*, 16 March 2023) <https://www.edf.org/blog/2015/07/08/holy-grail-climate-economics-price-carbon> accessed 5 May 2024.

[91] Ibid.

Table 4: Strategies for Mitigation of Unintended Consequences

Observation and Assessment	To prevent and manage unforeseen results, there should be adequate surveillance and appraisal methods. Per the evidence amassed by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, governments can modify their policies more effectively so that they may attain their desired goals while lowering unexpected impacts by frequently evaluating what carbon pricing could entail.[92] Unintentional effects might be dealt with through gathering information about emissions, economic consequences as well as social outcomes then using this data for making decisions based on facts.
Safety Nets and Social Safeguards	Introducing safety nets and social safeguards can mitigate the detrimental impacts of carbon pricing on marginalized groups. The World Bank indicates that if low-income people receive specific aid or assistance, the negative effects of carbon pricing may not be as significant.[93] Governments may aid those most affected by carbon pricing, ensuring that the transition to a low-carbon economy is equitable and inclusive.[94]
Innovation and Technological Development	Sustainable growth can be developed while mitigating the economic impacts of carbon pricing by providing funds for these initiatives. Studies carried out by the International Energy Agency suggest that investments in clean energy infrastructure and technologies, which can create new business opportunities and jobs, may lessen the short-term disruptions caused by carbon pricing.[95] Governments encouraging innovation and entrepreneurship can quicken economic growth and ease the transition to a low-carbon economy.

92 *OECD* (n 68).
93 *Mackedon* (n 55).
94 Ibid.
95 *IEA* (n 60).

9. CONCLUSION

In summary, this comprehensive plan's suggested actions for enacting carbon pricing and a compliance framework, especially for the business sector, are a vital first step in combating climate change and advancing sustainable development. The necessity and significance of coordinated action in addressing climate change is emphasized by summarizing the suggested actions, putting out a call to action for interested parties, and stressing the consequences for corporate social responsibility and environmental preservation.

9.1 Summary of the Suggested Actions

A phased approach to implementation is included in the proposed measures, which include initial planning and assessment, policy development and legislative action, training and capacity building, pilot programs and testing, gradual rollout and scaling up, stakeholder collaboration, resource and funding allocation, and pilot program and testing. With a focus on companies, these policies seek to provide a strong framework for carbon pricing and compliance that encourages emissions reductions, innovation, preservation of the environment, and social justice.

9.2 Stakeholders Are Urged to Take Action

We urge governments, business executives, non-governmental organizations that promote the environment, and the general public to work together to support the implementation of corporate-specific carbon pricing and compliance regimes. In order to implement and uphold laws aimed at corporations about carbon pricing, governments need to exhibit political courage and dedication. Leaders in the industry should adopt a sustainable approach and allocate resources towards clean technology in order to lower emissions and improve their competitive edge. Environmental non-governmental organizations are vital in pushing for robust corporate-focused climate policy and in keeping stakeholders responsible. Lastly, the public needs to support laws that hold companies responsible for their carbon emissions and demand action on climate change.

9.3. Environmental Protection and Corporate Responsibility Implications

Implementing carbon pricing and compliance regimes for firms has consequences that go beyond environmental preservation to include sustainable development and corporate responsibility. Carbon pricing encourages businesses to cut emissions, use clean technology, and adopt sustainable business practices by internalizing the costs of carbon pollution. This reduces climate change while simultaneously boosting a company's resilience, competitiveness, and reputation in a world economy that is changing quickly. In addition, carbon pricing encourages a transparent and accountable culture, which increases corporate responsibility and helps the business sector meet its long-term sustainability targets.

In conclusion, we can build a more resilient and sustainable future for all if we cooperate to enact carbon pricing and compliance systems aimed at companies. To protect the planet's health and guarantee a bright future for present and future generations, we must take strong action and work together to solve the existential danger of climate change within the corporate sector. Let's take advantage of this chance to create a more sustainable, wealthy, and just world for present and future generations, with companies leading the way in sustainable innovation and responsible stewardship.

REFERENCES

Aldy, J.E. and Gianfrate, G., 'How to Create the Climate Strategy Your Company Needs' (*Harvard Business Review*, 24 November 2020) <https://hbr.org/2019/05/future-proof-your-climate-strategy> accessed 5 May 2024

Ammann, E., 'Exxon Valdez: Oil Spills: Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program' (*Exxon Valdez | Oil Spills | Damage Assessment, Remediation, and Restoration Program*, 17 August 2020) <https://darrp.noaa.gov/oil-spills/exxon-valdez#:~:text=What%20Happened%3F,environmental%20disasters%20in%20U.S.%20history> accessed 6 May 2024

Austin, S., 'Gov. Newsom Signs New Law Requiring Big Companies in California to Disclose Emissions' (PBS, 8 October 2023) <http://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/gov-newsom-signs-new-law-requiring-big-companies-in-california-to-disclose-emissions> accessed 4 May 2024

Ben-David, I., 'Research: When Environmental Regulations Are Tighter at Home, Companies Emit More Abroad' (Harvard Business Review, 10 November 2022) <https://hbr.org/2019/02/research-when-environmental-regulations-are-tighter-at-home-companies-emit-more-abroad> accessed 5 May 2024

Blume-Kohout, M., 'Research Summary: Innovation Accelerators - SBA' (*Small Business Association*, October 2014) <https://cdn.advocacy.sba.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/15123255/rs425-Innovation-Accelerators-Research-Summary-FINAL.pdf> accessed 5 May 2024

Camuzeaux, J., 'The Holy Grail of Climate Economics? A Price on Carbon.' (*Environmental Defense Fund*, 8 July 2015) <https://www.edf.org/blog/2015/07/08/holy-grail-climate-economics-price-carbon> accessed 5 May 2024

Carattini, S., Carvalho, M. and Fankhauser, S., 'Overcoming Public Resistance to Carbon Taxes' (2018) 9 WIREs Climate Change

Center for Climate and Energy Solutions, 'California Cap and Trade' (*Center for Climate and Energy Solutions*, 24 August 2021)

<https://www.c2es.org/content/california-cap-and-trade/> accessed 6 May 2024

Committee on Adaptation to a Changing Climate, 'Errata for Climate-Resilient Infrastructure Adaptive Design and Risk Management' [2018] Climate-Resilient Infrastructure

Cox, J., 'Bottom Line on Climate Bill Compliance' (*World Resources Institute*, 3 July 2011) <https://www.wri.org/research/bottom-line-climate-bill-compliance> accessed 5 May 2024

Cox, J., 'Putting People at the Center of Climate Action | World Resources Institute' (*World Resources Institute*, 2020) <https://www.wri.org/insights/putting-people-center-climate-action> accessed 5 May 2024

Danesh Yazdi, M. and others, 'Long-Term Association of Air Pollution and Hospital Admissions among Medicare Participants Using a Doubly Robust Additive Model' (2021) 143 Circulation 1584

Derviş, K. and others, 'Why the US Should Establish a Carbon Price Either through Reconciliation or Other Legislation' (*Brookings*, 9 March 2022) <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/why-the-us-should-establish-a-carbon-price-either-through-reconciliation-or-other-legislation/> accessed 4 May 2024

Dressler, L. and others, 'Green Budgeting and Tax Policy Tools to Support a Green Recovery' [2020] OECD Policy Responses to Coronavirus (COVID-19)

EEA, 'Dashboard – Renewable Energy in Europe 2023' (European Environment Agency, 13 December 2023) <https://www.eea.europa.eu/themes/energy/renewable-energy/renewable-energy-in-europe-dashboard> accessed 5 May 2024

EPA Press Office, 'EPA Announces 2018 Annual Environmental Enforcement Results' (*EPA*, 8 February 2019) <https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-announces-2018-annual-environmental-enforcement-results> accessed 6 May 2024

EPA, 'Evolution of the Clean Air Act' (*EPA*, 21 November 2023) <https://www.epa.gov/clean-air-act-overview/evolution-clean-air-act> accessed 6 May 2024

EPA, 'NAAQS Table' (*EPA*, 7 February 2024) <https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants/naaqs-table> accessed 6 May 2024

EPA, 'NPDES Program Management and Oversight' (*EPA*, 31 January 2024) <https://www.epa.gov/npdes/npdes-program-management-and-oversight> accessed 6 May 2024

Fisheries, N., 'Endangered Species Act' (*NOAA*, 13 June 2023) <https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/national/endangered-species-conservation/endangered-species-act#:~:text=%E2%80%94The%20purposes%20of%20this%20Act,achieve%20the%20purposes%20of%20the> accessed 6 May 2024

GAO, '03-112, Major Management Challenges and Program Risks: Environmental Protection Agency' (*GAO*, 1 January 2003) <https://www.gao.gov/assets/a237008.html> accessed 5 May 2024

Gates, G. and others, 'How Volkswagen's "defeat Devices" Worked' (*The New York Times*, 8 October 2015) <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/business/international/vw-diesel-emissions-scandal-explained.html> accessed 6 May 2024

Gunn, D., 'Environmental Preferences, Competition, and Firms' R&D Choices' (*National Bureau of Economic Research*, 1 June 2020) <https://www.nber.org/digest/jun20/environmental-preferences-competition-and-firms-rd-choices> accessed 5 May 2024

Halter, C., 'Climate Commitment Act' (*Climate Commitment Act - Washington State Department of Ecology*, 2024) <https://ecology.wa.gov/Air-Climate/Climate-Commitment-Act#:~:text=In%202021%2C%20Gov.,%2C%20schools%2C%20workers%20and%20more> accessed 6 May 2024

Hyatt, D., 'US Companies Do Greenhouse Gas Damage Equal to 18.5% of Profits, Study Shows' (*Investopedia*, 25 August 2023) <http://www.investopedia.com/us-companies-greenhouse-gas-damage-study-7853027> accessed 4 May 2024

IEA, 'Energy Efficiency Policy and Carbon Pricing – Analysis' (*IEA*, 2011) <https://www.iea.org/reports/energy-efficiency-policy-and-carbon-pricing> accessed 7 May 2024

Kelly, M. and Rogers, E., 'Communicating the Value of Industrial Energy Efficiency Programs' (*American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy*, February 2016) <https://www.aceee.org/sites/default/files/value-industrial-ee-programs.pdf> accessed 5 May 2024

Kenton, W., 'What Is the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)? What It Does' (Investopedia, 17 July 2021) <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/e/environmental-protection-agency.asp> accessed 6 May 2024

Kumar, A. and others, 'The Inflation Reduction Act: Here's What's in It' (McKinsey & Company, 24 October 2022) <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/the-inflation-reduction-act-heres-whats-in-it> accessed 6 May 2024

Lebling, K. and others, 'State of Climate Action: Assessing Progress Toward 2030 and 2050' (*World Resources Institute*, 11 July 2021) <https://www.wri.org/research/state-climate-action-assessing-progress-toward-2030-and-2050> accessed 5 May 202

Mackedon J, 'Pricing Carbon' (*World Bank*, 3 June 2023) <https://www.worldbank.org/en/programs/pricing-carbon> accessed 4 May 2024

Malhotra, A. and Schmidt, T.S., 'Accelerating Low-Carbon Innovation' (2020) 4 Joule 2259

McLaughlin, D. and Cameron, M., 'Carbon Dividends Could Save Carbon Pricing – and Create a New National Climate Consensus' (*International Institute for Sustainable Development*, 11 October 2018) <https://www.iisd.org/articles/policy-analysis/carbon-dividends-could-save-carbon-pricing-and-create-new-national-climate> accessed 5 May 2024

Murray, B. and Rivers, N., 'British Columbia's Revenue-Neutral Carbon Tax: A Review of the Latest "Grand Experiment" in Environmental Policy' (2015) 86 Energy Policy 674

Narassimhan, E. and others, 'Carbon Pricing in Practice: A Review of Existing Emissions Trading Systems' (2018) 18 Climate Policy 967.

National Agricultural Law Center, 'Endangered Species Act Overview' (*National Agricultural Law Center*, 27 November 2023) <https://nationalaglawcenter.org/overview/esa/#:~:text=The%20ESA%20is%20administered%20by,ESA%20for%20all%20marine%20species> accessed 6 May 2024

Nations, U., 'The 17 Goals | Sustainable Development' (*United Nations*, 2024) <https://sdgs.un.org/goals> accessed 5 May 2024

Newell, P.J., Geels, F.W. and Sovacool, B.K., 'Navigating Tensions between Rapid and Just Low-Carbon Transitions' (2022) 17 Environmental Research Letters 041006

OECD, 'OECD Environmental Performance Reviews: United States 2023' (*The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development*, 2023) <https://doi.org/10.1787/47675117-en>

Pallardy, R., 'Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill' (*Encyclopædia Britannica*, 27 April 2024) <https://www.britannica.com/event/Deepwater-Horizon-oil-spill> accessed 6 May 2024

Pareliussen, J. and Purwin, A., 'Climate Policies and Sweden's Green Industrial Revolution' [2023] OECD Economics Department Working Papers

Parry, I., 'The Case for Carbon Taxation – IMF F&D: December 2019' (*IMF*, 1 December 2019) <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2019/12/the-case-for-carbon-taxation-and-putting-a-price-on-pollution-parry#:~:text=A%20tax%20of%2C%20say%2C%20%2435,technologies%20like%20renewable%20power%20plants> accessed 4 May 2024

Reed, B., 'Just 100 Companies Responsible for 71% of Global Emissions, Study Says' (*The Guardian*, 10 July 2017) <http://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/2017/jul/10/100-fossil-fuel-companies-investors-responsible-71-global-emissions-cdp-study-climate-change> accessed 4 May 2024

Rives, R., Elshorbany, Y. and Kaylor, S., 'The Relationship between Air Quality, Health Outcomes, and Socioeconomic Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic in the US' (*GeoHealth*, 10 May 2023) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC10171069/> accessed 6 May 2024

Sánchez-Medina, A.J., Romero-Quintero, L. and Sosa-Cabrera, S., 'Environmental Management in Small and Medium-Sized Companies: An Analysis from the Perspective of the Theory of Planned Behavior' (*PloS One*, 12 February 2014) <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3922869/> accessed 5 May 2024

The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative, 'The Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative' (*Elements of RGGI | RGGI, Inc.*, 2024) <https://www.rggi.org/program-overview-and-design/elements>, accessed 6 May 2024

Thompson, J. (*Companies with strong ESG scores outperform, study finds*, 11 August 2018) <https://www.ft.com/content/f99b0399-ee67-3497-98ff-eed4b04cfde5> accessed 7 May 2024

UNDP, 'UN Development Programme Launches Plan to Boost Integrity in Carbon Markets and Increase Access to Finance Schemes for Developing Countries' (*UNDP*, 4 December 2023) <https://www.undp.org/press-releases/un-development-programme-launches-plan-boost-integrity-carbon-markets-and-increase-access-finance-schemes-developing-countries> accessed 7 May 2024

UNFCCC, 'The Paris Agreement' (*Unfccc.int*, 2023) <https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement> accessed 5 May 2024

AUTHORS' DECLARATION AND ESSENTIAL ETHICAL COMPLIANCES

Authors' Contributions (in accordance with ICMJE criteria for authorship)

Contribution	*Author 1*	*Author 2*
Conceived or design the research analysis	Yes	No
Collected the data	Yes	Yes
Contributed to data analysis and Interpretation	Yes	Yes
Wrote the article	Yes	Yes
Critical revision of the article	Yes	No
Editing of the article	No	Yes
Supervision	Yes	Yes
Project Administration	Yes	No
Funding Acquisition	No	No
Overall Contribution Proportion (%):	60	40

Funding
No funding was available for the research conducted for and writing of this paper. Therefore, acknowledging and support agency is not applicable in case of this research or the written work. However, informal support of institutional supervisors, colleagues and respondents is duly acknowledged.

Research involving human bodies or organs or tissues (Helsinki Declaration)
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any human subject (body or organs) for experimentation. It was not a clinical research. The contexts of human population/participation were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or ethical obligation of Helsinki Declaration does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research involving animals (ARRIVE Checklist)
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any animal subject (body or organs) for experimentation. The research was not based on laboratory experiment involving any kind animal. The contexts of animals not even indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or ethical obligation of ARRIVE does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research on Indigenous Peoples and/or Traditional Knowledge
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any Indigenous Peoples as participants or respondents. The contexts of Indigenous Peoples or Indigenous Knowledge are only indirectly covered, through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or prior informed consent (PIC) of the respondents or Self-Declaration in this regard does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research involving Plants
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved the plants for experiment or field studies. The contexts of plants are only indirectly covered through literature review. Yet, during this research the author(s) obeyed the principles of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

(Optional) Research Involving Local Community Participants (Non-Indigenous)
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not directly involved any local community participants or respondents belonging to non-Indigenous peoples. Neither this study involved any child in any form directly. The contexts of different humans, people, populations, men/women/children and ethnic people are only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or prior informed consent (PIC) of the respondents or Self-Declaration in this regard does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

(Optional) PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses)
The author(s) has/have NOT complied with PRISMA standards. It is not relevant in case of this study or written work.

Competing Interests/Conflict of Interest
Author(s) has/have no competing financial, professional, or personal interests from other parties or in publishing this manuscript. There is no conflict of interest with the publisher or the editorial team or the reviewers.

Attribution and Representation
All opinions and mistakes are the author(s)' own and cannot be attributed to the institutions they represent. The publisher is also not responsible either for such opinions and mistakes in the text or graphs or images.

Rights and Permissions

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

***

To see original copy of these declarations signed by Corresponding/First Author (on behalf of other co-authors too), please download associated zip folder [Ethical Declarations] from the published Abstract page accessible through and linked with the DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/jelp040106.